SmI₂-Mediated Intermolecular Coupling of γ -Lactam N- α -Radicals with Activated Alkenes: Asymmetric Synthesis of 11-Hydroxylated Analogues of the Lead Compounds CP-734432 and PF-04475270

Kong-Zhen Hu,[†] Jie Ma,[‡] Shi Qiu,[†] Xiao Zheng,[†] and Pei-Qiang Huang^{*,†}

† Department of Chemistry and Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Chemical Biology, Co[lleg](#page-10-0)e of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian 361005, PR China

‡ Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian 361005, PR China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-10-0)STRACT: [We report, fo](#page-10-0)r the first time, the synthesis of 8 aza-analogues of $PGE₂$. The SmI₂-mediated cross coupling reactions of γ-lactam-hemiaminal 9, lactam 2-pyridyl sulfide 17, and lactam 2-pyridyl sulfone 18 with activated alkenes/ alkyne were first developed, giving the corresponding γ-lactams in 49−78%, 45−75%, and 75−90%, respectively. The reactions of lactam 2-pyridyl sulfide and 2-pyridyl sulfone proceeded

with \geq 12:1 trans-diastereoselectivities. This represents the first intermolecular coupling reaction of the *γ*-lactam N- α -alkyl radicals of types B, B1, and B2 with activated alkenes. Two radical-based mechanisms were suggested. The asymmetric synthesis of the 11-hydroxylated analogue of the highly selective EP4 receptor agonist PF-04475270 (30), the 11-hydroxylated analogue of ocular hypotensive CP-734432 (31), compounds 35 and 36 have been achieved on the basis of this method.

■ INTRODUCTION

Prostaglandins (PGs) are a group of naturally occurring C_{20} substances found in trace amount in animals and men, which were once widely believed to be very promising therapeutic agents for a number of diseases.^{1,2} Among them, prostaglandin E_2 $(PGE₂, 1$ in Figure 1) is the most well-known member that

Figure 1. Prostaglandin E_2 (PGE₂) and pharmaceutically relevant 11deoxy-8-aza-PGE₂ analogues.

exhibits a broad range of physiological activities. However, its development as the medicinal agent has been impeded due to its instability, quick metabolism and side effects.^{1,2} To tackle these problems, many aza-analogues of PGE_2 in which a CH or a CH_2 of the cyclopentane ring is replaced by a n[itro](#page-10-0)gen, have been synthesized.³ Recently, γ -lactam analogue 2 has been revealed as a potent and selective agonist of the prostaglandin EP_4 receptor by Merck's recent receptor binding assays. 4 CP-734432 (3) has been discovered by Pfizer's high throughput screening as a highly selective EP₄ receptor agonist with an IC₅₀ [=](#page-10-0) 2 nM.⁵ PF-4475270 (4), the isopropyl ester prodrug of 3, was shown to be a novel ocular hypotensive compound capable of effecti[v](#page-10-0)ely lowering intraocular pressure in dogs.⁶ Surprisingly, in spite of the great progress made in the chemistry and biochemistry of 8-azaanalogues of PGs ,⁷ only 8-az[a-](#page-10-0)11-deoxyanalogues of PGs have so far been reported. In the light of the structure of PGE_2 , it is worthwhile to d[ev](#page-10-0)elop 8-aza-analogues of PGE_2 with the 11hydroxyl group retained.

Our retrosynthetic analysis of 11-hydroxylated analogues of CP-734432 and PF-04475270 is depicted in Scheme 1, in which the retro-Michael addition type disconnection of lactam 7 is the key step, leading to either the carbanionic syntho[n](#page-1-0) A or the radical synthon B.

Carbon−carbon bond formation at the N-α-carbon is a fundamental transformation in the synthesis of nitrogencontaining compounds. Although many N-α-carbanion-based methodologies,⁸ including that based on the Boc-stabilized α -(N- carbamoyl) alkylsamarium (III) species $\textbf{C}^\textit{9}_\textit{7}$ have been docu-mented, the C[−](#page-10-0)C bond formation via the β -hydroxypyrrolidin-2-one-based N- α α α -carbanion of types A (Scheme 1) and A1/A2 (Figure 2) remains challenging due to a lack of chelation

Special I[ssu](#page-1-0)e: Howard Zimmerman Memorial Issue

Received: June 22, 2012 Published: August 1, 2012

Figure 2. (4-Hydroxy)pyrrolidin-2-one N- α -carbanion/ α -carba radical synthons and their precursors.

stabilization (cf. D in Figure 2),¹⁰ poor nucleophilic reactivity toward electrophiles,^{10b} the proton exchange with the lactam's acidic proton (cf. E in Figure [2](#page-10-0)),^{10b,11} and/or the easy β elimination.¹²

In this context, the α-acylaminoalk[yl radi](#page-10-0)cals-based C−C bond formation [me](#page-10-0)thodology pioneered by $Hart^{13}$ affords another complementary synthetic way.^{14,15} β-Hydroxylated lactam N-αalkyl radicals represented by B1/B2 (Figure [2\)](#page-10-0) have been used successfully in the total synthe[sis of](#page-10-0) hydroxylated alkaloids.^{13a,e,15} However, the reported reactions of the lactam $N-\alpha$ -alkyl radicals were limited to the intramolecular version, $13,15$ an[d the](#page-10-0) corresponding intermolecular reaction had not been reported. This raised concerns about the stability of the la[ctam](#page-10-0) $N-\alpha$ -alkyl radicals under the classic thermal conditions using AIBN/ Bu3SnH as a radical initiation/propagation system in benzene/ toluene. In this regard, the $SmI₂$ -based chemistry¹⁶ pioneered by Kagan and co-workers provides a valuable solution to the problem, since such kind of radical reactions are [ge](#page-10-0)nerally run at mild conditions (−78 °C to rt).

To execute our synthetic plan displayed in Scheme 1, we intended to develop a $SmI₂$ -based intermolecular coupling of γ lactam N - α -alkyl radicals of type **B** in particular, and types **B1** and B2 in general, with activated alkenes. The results of this research and application of the newly developed method to the asymmetric synthesis of 11-hydroxylated analogues of CP-734432 (3) and PF-04475270 (4) are reported herein.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Previous to this work, we have embarked on a general program aiming at the development of SmI2-based methodologies for the asymmetric synthesis of N -containing compounds.¹⁷ In those studies, we have demonstrated that the α -acylaminoalkyl radicals of types F can be generated from the corresponding N[-c](#page-10-0)arbamoyl hemiaminals/N,O-acetals/N,S-acetals 8 through the action of $SmI₂$ under mild conditions (Scheme 2). We expected this

Scheme 2

chemistry to be extended to the lactam hemiaminals G, thus allowing the generation of the γ -lactam N- α -alkyl radicals of types B, B1 and B2, and the following intermolecular interception by activated alkenes.

The radical coupling of γ -lactam-hemiaminal 9 was first investigated. According to our previously established conditions,^{17e} compound 9 was successively treated with 2 mol equiv of BF_3 ·OEt₂, a solution of tert-butanol-containing SmI₂ in THF ([0.1](#page-11-0) M, 4 equiv), and an activated alkene at −40 °C (Table 1). As can be seen from Table 1, the coupling of γ -lactamhemiaminal 9 with ethyl acrylate produced the desired product [1](#page-2-0)0a in 78% yield along with the [red](#page-2-0)uced product 11^{18} in 10% yield (Table 1, entry 3). Under the same conditions, other activated alkenes reacted with γ -lactam-hemiaminal 9 [\(T](#page-11-0)able 1, entries 4 to 7) to give the corresponding products in 49−76% yields. To con[fi](#page-2-0)rm the formation of the lactam $N-\alpha$ -alkyl radi[cal](#page-2-0) intermediates, the reaction of γ -lactam-hemiaminal 9 in the absence of an activated alkene was carried out. The homocoupling^{19d} product bipyrrolidin-2-one 10f was isolated in 33% yield as a 1:1 mixture of dl- and meso-diastereomers, along with the redu[ced](#page-11-0) product 11 in 65% yield (Table 1, entry 8).

Encouraged by these results, the cross-coupling reaction of γ lactam hemiaminal 12, easily available from (S) [-m](#page-2-0)alic acid,^{20a} was then investigated. However, under the above-mentioned optimized conditions, the reaction of γ -lactam-hemiaminal [12](#page-11-0) with ethyl acrylate failed to afford the desired coupling product 15a (Table 2, entry 1). Only 40% of 15a was obtained, along with the known reduced side product $16²¹$ when the reaction was run at 0 °C ([Ta](#page-2-0)ble 2, entry 2). Use of other Lewis acids such as TMSOTf and Tf₂O, or lactam N,O-[ace](#page-11-0)tals such as 13^{20b} and 14^{22} did not lead to [an](#page-2-0)y improvement of the yields (Table 2, entries 3−6).

To tackle this problem, sulfide 17 and sulfone 18 were attempted (Scheme 3). The seminal work of [Be](#page-2-0)au and Skrydstrup has nicely demonstrated the utility of glycosyl pyridyl sulfones in the samari[um](#page-2-0) diiodide-mediated reductive coupling with ketones or aldehydes under Barbier conditions.¹⁹ We have also realized similar coupling of (pyrid-2-yl)(pyrrolidin-2-yl-

^aIn the absence of BF₃.OEt₂. ^bIn the absence of *t*-BuOH. ^cIsolated yield. ^dDetermined by HPLC analysis. ^eYield not optimized.

Table 2. SmI₂-Mediated Reductive Coupling of γ-Lactamhemiaminal 12 and γ-Lactam-N,O-acetals 13, 14 with Ethyl Acrylate

х	BnO Bn 12. $X = OH$ 13. $X = OMe$ 14. $X = OAC$	Sml ₂ / t-BuOH –40 °C	Lewis acid (both 4.0 equiv) COOEt	BnO $\mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{v}^{\star}}$ Bn EtOO 15a	BnO N Bn 16
entry	starting material, Lewis acid (equiv)		T $({}^{\circ}C)$	products $15a$ (%) yield) and 16 $(\%$ yield) ^{<i>a</i>}	recovered starting material $(\%)^a$
1	12, BF_3 OEt_2 (2)		-40	none	100
2	12, BF_3 OEt_2 (2)		θ	(40) and (40)	15
3	12, TMSOT $f(1)$		Ω	(16) and (16)	23
$\overline{4}$	13, Tf ₂ O (1)		Ω	(35) and (0)	64
5	13, BF_3 OEt_2 (2)		Ω	(26) and (22)	17
6	14, BF_3 $OEt_2(1)$		Ω	(31) and (19)	
^a Isolated yield.					

carbamate)sulfides with carbonyl compounds.⁹ Recently, we have shown that with t-butanol as a proton source and in the presence of BF_3 ·OEt₂, the mechanism of SmI_2 -mediated coupling reaction of sulfides can be switched from a Barbier

Scheme 3. Preparation of Sulfide 17 and Sulfone 18 and the X-Ray Structure of 18

type (via samarium(III) intermediates)⁹ to a radical one (via Ncarbamoyliminium ion intermediates).17b

The requisite 2-pyridylsulfide 17 [w](#page-10-0)as first prepared by $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ (2.0 equiv)-catalyzed r[eact](#page-10-0)ion of 12 with 2mercaptopyridine, as a separable mixture of diastereomers in a 2:1 ratio (yield: 90%). The stereochemistry of the major diastereomer 17 was assigned as 4,5-trans according to the observed vicinal coupling constant²² $(J_{4,5} = 0$ Hz). It was observed that the cis-isomer gradually epimerized to the trans-isomer upon standing. Oxidation ([MC](#page-11-0)PBA, $NaHCO₃$) of the diastereomeric mixture of the sulfides 17 and 5-epi-17 afforded the 2-pyridylsulfone 18 with 85% yield in a nearly diastereomerically pure form, the stereochemistry of which was determined to be the 4,5-trans by both H¹ NMR ($J_{4,5}$ = 0 Hz) and single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Scheme 3).

The cross-coupling of the sulfide 17 and sulfone 18 with activated alkenes was then examined, and the results are summarized in Table 3. As can be seen from entries 1 and 2, the coupling reactions of sulfide 17 with ethyl acrylate is effectively promoted [by](#page-3-0) BF_3 ·OEt₂, improving the yield from 30 to 75%. The coupling reactions of sulfone 18, however, underwent smoothly in the absence of BF_3 ·OEt₂ (entries 3, 5, 7, 9). Superior yields (75−90%) were obtained from crosscoupling reactions of sulfone 18 with activated alkenes compared with those with sulfide 17 (40−75%). Nevertheless, excellent 4,5-trans-diastereoselectivities were observed for both the sulfide 17 and sulfone 18. The stereochemistry of the major products were assigned according to the observed vicinal coupling constants²² ($J_{4,5}$ = 1.8–2.0 Hz). In the absence of an activated alkene, the homocoupling product 15e was yielded as a single diastereo[me](#page-11-0)r in 21%, along with the reduced product 16 in 19%, and the elimination product 19 in 50% (Table 3, entry 10). The stereochemistry of homocoupling product 15e was deduced from the observed vicinal coupling constants²² ($J_{4,5}$ = 0 Hz; $J_{4,5}$ = 8.3 Hz). The formation of the homocoupl[in](#page-3-0)g product 15e implicated the involvement of a γ-lactam $N-\alpha$ -alkyl radical.

In light of the homocoupling products (10f and 15e) formation, two plausible radical-based reaction mechanisms are depicted in Scheme 4. For the reaction of γ-lactam-hemiaminal 12 (and also hemiaminal 9), route A is proposed, according to the imperative role [pl](#page-3-0)ayed by the Lewis acid BF_3 ·OEt₂. The γ lactam-iminium ion H is first generated in situ from γ -lactamhemiaminal 12 under action of BF_3 ·OEt₂. A single electron reduction of the γ -lactam-iminium ion H by SmI₂ afforded the γ -

^a17 or 18 (1.0 equiv), an α , β -unsaturated compound (2.0 equiv) and t-BuOH (4.0 equiv) were dissolved in THF, a freshly prepared SmI₂ solution (4 mol equiv) in THF was added dropwise at -60°C . b 17 (1.0 equiv), an α , β -unsaturated compound (2.0 equiv) and BF₃·OEt₂ (2.0 equiv) were dissolved in THF, a freshly prepared ^t-BuOH-containing (4 mol equiv) SmI2 solution (4 mol equiv) in THF was added dropwise at [−]⁷⁸ °C. ^c Isolated yield. ^dRatio determined by HPLC analysis. ^edr for the newly formed stereogenic center at the side chain. ^fYield not optimized.

Scheme 4. Plausible Mechanisms of the Cross Coupling Reactions

lactam N - α -alkyl radical I, which was trapped by an activated alkene to form a C−C bond at the γ-lactam N-α-carbon. For the reaction of sulfone 18, based on the fact that BF_3 ·OEt₂ has no impact on the reaction, route B is proposed, in which the γ-lactam N- α -alkyl radical I is generated directly from sulfone 18 through the SmI2-mediated homolytic cleavage of the C−S bond. For the

reaction of sulfide 17, both route A and B are involved, considering the improvement of the reaction yield by the use of $BF_3·OEt_2$. The high *trans*-diastereoselectivity in the coupling reactions of 17 and 18 can be ascribed to the 1,2-stereochemical induction by C-4 benzyloxy group. Such 1,2-stereochemical induction is well documented for both intermolecular²³ and intramolecular^{13a,e} radical reactions under classic tin-based conditions (AIBN, Bu₃SnH, C_6H_6 , reflux).

With the [meth](#page-10-0)od for the generation and intermolecular coupling of the γ -lactam N- α -alkyl radicals **B** established, we next focused on the asymmetric synthesis of 8-aza-analogues of PGE_2 . The novel 11-hydroxylated analogues of PF-04475270 (30), CP-734432 (31), 35 and 36 were selected as our targets.

The synthesis started from the γ -lactam 2-pyridyl sulfone 21, which is easily prepared as a separable 4,5-trans/cis diastereomeric mixture in a 3:1 ratio (determined by $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR) in three steps with an overall yield of 58% from the known malimide²⁴ 20. The observed $J_{4,5} = 0$ Hz allowed assigned a 4,5-transstereochemistry for the major diastereomer of 21. Alt[hou](#page-11-0)gh diastereomeric pure 2-pyridylsulfone 18 was used for the coupling reactions in our above-mentioned investigation, both diastereomers would give the same result according our

suggested radical mechanism. Indeed, the SmI₂-mediated cross coupling of the diastereomeric mixture of sulfone 21 with methyl acrylate in the presence of t-BuOH at −60 °C produced the desired coupling product 22 as the sole detectable diastereomer in 90% yield (determined by $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR of a crude sample). Ester 22 was converted into Weinreb amide²⁵ 23 in 70% yield, which reacted with (3-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl) magnesium bromide at −78 °C to give ketone 24 in 80% [y](#page-11-0)ield. Diastereoselective reduction of ketone 24 with Corey's methyl CBS oxazaborolidine reagents (R -Me CBS oxazaborolidine)²⁶ in combination with borane−dimethyl sulfide complex provided alcohol (8R/ 8S)-25 as a separable [d](#page-11-0)iastereomeric mixture $(dr = 3:1)$ in 95% yield. The C-8 stereochemistry of the minor diastereomer 25b was determined to be 8S by the modified Mosher method, 27 and that of the major one 25a was deduced to be 8R.

Protection of the hydroxyl group in 25a gave benzyl et[he](#page-11-0)r 26 in 80% yield, which was subjected to oxidative cleavage with CAN in a mixed solvent system MeCN/H₂O (9:1, v/v, rt) to afford γ-lactam 27 in 78% yield. Treatment of γ-lactam 27 with isopropyl 5-(3-iodopropyl)thiophene-2-carboxylate 28^{7e} gave the N-alkylation product 29 in 60% yield. Exposure of compound 29 to BBr₃ in DCM at -10 °C allowed the cleavage of tw[o b](#page-10-0)enzyl groups to furnish our first target 11-hydroxy-PF-04475270 (30) in 70% yield. Saponification of compound 30 produced our second target molecule 11-hydroxy-CP-734432 (31) in 95% yield (Scheme 5).

For the synthesis of the third target molecule 35, γ-lactam 27 was alkylated with iodide $32,^{28}$ which produced compound 33 in 60% yield (Scheme 6). Under catalytic hydrogenolytic conditions $(H_2, 1 atm, 10\% \text{ Pd/C}, rt, 24 h), O,O'-bis-$ Scheme 6. Asymmetric Synthesis of 11-Hydroxylated Analogue 35

debenzylation underwent smoothly to give diol 34 in 90% yield. Saponification of the ester 34 yielded the target carboxylic acid 35 in 95% yield.

Finally, compound 36, another aza-analogue of PF-4475270 (30)/CP-734432 (31), was obtained in 90% yield from compound (8R)-25a by catalytic hydrogenolysis (Scheme 7).

■ CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have accomplished, for the first time[,](#page-5-0) the intermolecular coupling reaction of the γ -lactam N- α -alkyl

Scheme 7. Asymmetric Synthesis of 11-Hydroxylated Analogue 36

radicals of type B, B1 and B2 with activated alkenes. The easy availability of the substrates (γ-lactam-hemiaminal 9, lactam 2 pyridyl sulfide 17 and lactam 2-pyridyl sulfones 18 and 21) renders this efficient C−C bond formation a versatile methodology for the synthesis of 5-substituted $γ$ -lactams, which is complementary to other SmI₂-based cross coupling methods.^{9,16,17,29} Excellent trans-diastereoselectivities were observed in the coupling reactions of (S) -malimide derived lactam sulfide 17 [and la](#page-10-0)[cta](#page-11-0)m sulfone 18. Taking advantage of this method, we have accomplished the asymmetric synthesis of four 8-azaanalogues of $PGE₂$, namely, the 11-hydroxylated analogue of $PF-$ 04475270 (30), the 11-hydroxylated analogue of CP-734432 (31), compounds 35 and 36. It is noteworthy that bi- γ -lactams 10f and 15e are ready precursors for the synthesis of potentially useful bipyrrolidine type chiral ligands. 30

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

(4S,5R)-1-Benzyl-4-benzyloxy-5-(pyridin-2-ylthio)pyrrolidin-2-one (17). To a mixture of the known hemiaminal 12 (1.00 g, 3.4 mmol) and $CaCl₂$ (753 mg, 6.8 mmol) and pyridine-2-thiol (564 mg, 5.1) mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL) was added $BF_3·Et_2O$ (0.42 mL, 3.4 mmol) at 0 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 5 h, the reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (2 mL), and the resulting mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (2 mL) , dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent: EtOAc/PE 1:2) to afford the less polar diastereomer 17 (660 mg, yield: 50%) and the more polar diastereomer (530 mg, yield: 40%). The sulfide 17 is a white solid: mp 78−79 °C (EtOAc/PE 1:2); [α]²⁰_D +1.6 (c 1.4, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3120, 3021, 1705, 1578, 1454, 1415, 1124, 1087, 1070, 759, 698 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.47 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (dd, J = 5.2, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, $J = 12.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.66 (d, $J = 12.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.03 (d, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.63 (s, 1H), 6.88−6.97 (m, 1H), 7.04−7.29 (m, 11H), 7.37−7.45 (m, 1H), 8.23 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 37.4, 44.0, 67.7, 71.0, 78.6, 120.4, 123.4, 127.4 (2C), 127.7 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 128.4 (2C), 128.6 (2C), 136.0, 136.4, 137.8, 149.5, 156.8, 173.4; MS (ESI, m/z) 391 $(M + H⁺)$. Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₂₂N₂O₂S: C, 70.74; H, 5.68; N, 7.17. Found: C, 70.34; H, 5.57; N, 7.07.

(4S,5R)-1-Benzyl-4-benzyloxy-5-(pyridin-2-ylsulfonyl) pyrrolidin-2-one (18). To a solution of sulfide 17 (612 mg, 1.57 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (16 mL) was added NaHCO₃ (923 mg, 11.0 mmol) and MCPBA (70−75%, balance 3-chlorobenzoic acid and water) (1.13 g, 4.7 mmol) at 0 °C. After being stirred for 30 min at 0 °C and for 2 h at room temperature, the mixture was then diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL). The reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (25 mL) and brine (25 mL), and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 \times 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent: EtOAc/PE 1:2) to afford sulfone 18 (563 mg, yield: 85%) as white crystals: mp 123.0−123.2 °C (EtOAc/PE 1:2); [α]²⁰_D −3.3 (*c* 1.2, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3125, 3027, 1716, 1404, 1316, 1108 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 2.40 $(d, J = 17.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 2.72 $(dd, J = 6.2, 17.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.88 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.96 (s, 1H), 5.09 (d, J = 15.2 Hz,

1H), 6.98−7.03 (m, 2H), 7.03−7.09 (m, 2H), 7.15−7.23 (m, 6H), 7.52 $(m, 1H)$, 7.88 $(m, 1H)$, 7.93–7.98 $(m, 1H)$, 8.62–8.67 $(m, 1H)$; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 37.3, 45.4, 70.9, 72.5, 79.8, 123.8, 127.6, 127.8, 127.9, 128.0 (2C), 128.2 (2C), 128.4 (2C), 128.7 (2C), 134.6, 136.4, 138.6, 150.5, 155.7, 174.2; MS (ESI, m/z) 423 (M + H⁺). Anal. Calcd for $C_{23}H_{22}N_2O_4S$: C, 65.38; H, 5.25; N, 6.63; S, 7.59. Found: C, 65.30; H, 5.33;.N, 6.59; S, 7.46.

Preparation of the t -BuOH-Containing SmI₂ Solution in THF. To a slurry of Sm powder (flame-dried under Ar, 826 mg, 5.5 mmol) in anhydrous THF (50 mL) was added I_2 (1.27 g, 5.0 mmol) at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at 45 °C to yield a dark blue SmI_2 (0.1 N in THF) reagent. To the resulting mixture was added t-BuOH (0.43 mL, 5.0 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 10 min to yield a t-BuOH-containing $SmI₂$ (both 0.1 M in THF).

General Procedure for the Cross-Coupling of Lactam-Hemiaminal with Activated Alkenes. Protocol A. To a solution of hemiaminal 9 or sulfide 17 (0.5 mmol), an activated alkene (1.0 mmol) and BF_3E_2O (2.0 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) was dropwise added a freshly prepared t-BuOH-containing SmI₂ (both 0.1 M in THF, 20 mL, 2.0 mmol) at −40 or −78 °C. After being stirred for 10 min, the reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of $NH₄Cl$ (10 mL), and the resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to afford the desired cross-coupling product. In some cases, the reduced product was isolated as a side product.

Protocol B. To a solution of sulfone ¹⁸ (0.5 mmol) and an activated alkene (1.0 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) was dropwise added a freshly prepared t-BuOH-containing SmI₂ (both 0.1 M in THF, 20 mL, 2.0 mmol) at −60 °C. After being stirred for 10 min, the reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of $NH₄Cl$ (10 mL), and the resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to afford the desired crosscoupling product. In some cases, the reduced product was isolated as a side product.

1-Benzyl-5-[2-(ethyloxycarbonyl)ethyl]-pyrrolidin-2-one (10a). Following the general protocol A, the $SmI₂$ mediated crosscoupling of hemiaminal 9 with ethyl acrylate afforded 10a in 78% yield as a colorless oil: IR (film) 3029, 2979, 2936, 1732, 1682, 1445, 1420, 1183 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.23 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.61–1.74 (m, 2H), 2.00−2.15 (m, 2H), 2.15−2.32 (m, 2H), 2.34−2.54 (m, 2H), 3.42−3.52 (m, 1H), 3.98 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.98 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18–7.37 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.0, 23.3, 27.6, 29.2, 29.9, 43.9, 55.8, 60.4, 127.3 (2C), 127.8 $(2C)$, 128.5, 136.4, 172.5, 174.8; MS (ESI, m/z) 276 (M + H⁺); HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{16}H_{21}NO_3Na]^+ (M + Na^+)$ 298.1400, found 298.1404.

1-Benzyl-5-[2-(tert-butyloxycarbonyl)ethyl]-pyrrolidin-2 **one (10b).** Following the general protocol A , the $SmI₂$ mediated crosscoupling of hemiaminal 9 with tert-butyl acrylate afforded 10b in 76% yield as a colorless oil: IR (film) 2972, 2927, 1726, 1683, 1494, 1418 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 1.32 (s, 9H), 1.50−1.63 (m, 2H), 1.88−1.98 (m, 1H), 1,98−2.16 (m, 3H), 2.25−2.46(m, 2H), 3.36 (tdd, J $= 3.1, 5.2, 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.90 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H), 7.12−7.28 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 23.4, 27.8, 27.9 (9C), 30.0, 30.5, 44.0, 56.0, 80.7, 127.4, 128.0 (3C), 128.6 (2C), 136.6, 171.9, 174.9; MS (ESI, m/z) 304 (M + H⁺, 100%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{25}NO_3$: C, 71.26; H, 8.31; N, 4.62. Found: C, 71.25; H, 8.00; N, 4.95.

1-Benzyl-5-(2-cyanoethyl)-pyrrolidin-2-one (10c). Following the general protocol A, the $SmI₂$ mediated cross-coupling of hemiaminal 9 with acrylonitrile afforded 10c in 54% yield as a colorless oil: IR (film) 2932, 2869, 2240, 1674, 1497, 1446, 1417 cm^{−1}; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.63–1.75 (m, 2H), 1.96–2.06 (m, 1H), 2.13– 2.21 (m, 1H), 2.22–2.32 (m, 2H), 2.37–2.55 (m, 2H), 3.56 (tdd, J = 3.1, 5.4, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (d, $J = 15.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.90 (d, $J = 15.1$ Hz, 1H),

7.18−7.40 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.5, 23.1, 28.4, 29.6, 44.3, 55.8, 118.5, 127.5, 127.6 (2C), 128.6 (2C), 136.1, 174.7; MS (ESI, m/z) 229 (M + H⁺, 100%). Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₆N₂O: C, 73.66; H, 7.06; N, 12.27. Found: C, 73.59; H, 7.10; N, 12.48.

1-Benzyl-5-[2-(ethyloxycarbonyl)propyl]-pyrrolidin-2-one (10d). Following the general protocol A, the $SmI₂$ mediated crosscoupling of hemiaminal 9 with ethyl methacrylate afforded 10d-H (less polar diastereomer) in 27% yield and 10d-L (more polar diastereomer) in 46% yield.

10d-H. Colorless oil: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.08 (d, J = 6.9
(a, 3H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.64–1.85 (m, 3H), 2.03–2.15 (m, Hz, 3H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.64–1.85 (m, 3H), 2.03–2.15 (m, 1H), 2.33−2.46 (m, 2H), 2.47−2.58 (m, 1H), 3.38−3.49 (m, 1H), 3.92 $(d, J = 15.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.05-4.18 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 5.02 \text{ (d, } J = 15.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H),$ 7.18−7.38 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.1, 17.2, 23.9, 30.0, 35.8, 36.5, 44.0, 55.0, 60.7, 127.5 (2C), 128.0 (2C), 128.6, 136.5, 174.9, 176.0.

10d-L. Colorless oil: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.11 (d, J = 7.1
c, 3H), 1.16 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.23–1.32 (m, 1H), 1.61–1.70 (m, Hz, 3H), 1.16 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.23–1.32 (m, 1H), 1.61–1.70 (m, 1H), 2.07−2.25 (m, 2H), 2.32−2.54 (m, 3H), 3.32−3.41 (m, 1H), 3.95−4.08 (m, 2H), 4.02 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 7.22−7.35 (m, 5H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 14.1, 18.5, 24.2, 29.9, 36.2, 37.2, 44.1, 55.4, 60.5, 127.4 (2C), 128.1 (2C), 128.6, 136.7, 174.8, 175.5.

10d. Data: IR (film) 3028, 2982, 2921, 1729, 1688, 1417, 1255, 1188 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI, *m/z*) 290 (M + H⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₃NO₃: C, 70.56; H, 8.01; N, 4.84. Found: C, 70.24; H, 7.66; N, 5.08.

(E) and (Z)-1-Benzyl-5-[2-(ethyloxycarbonyl)ethenyl]-pyrrolidin-2-one (10e). Following the general protocol A, the $SmI₂$ mediated cross-coupling of hemiaminal 9 with ethyl propiolate afforded 10e as an inseparable diastereomeric mixture $(E:Z = 1.6:1)$ in a combined yield of 49% as a colorless oil: IR (film) 3020, 2983, 2927, 1718, 1693, 1414, 1190, 1037 cm[−]¹ ; MS (ESI, m/z) 274 (M + H+). Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{19}NO_3$: C, 70.31; H, 7.01; N, 5.12. Found: C, 70.04; H, 6.89; N, 5.48.

 (Z) -10e-H. Less polar diastereomer, data read from spectrum of the diastereomeric mixture: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.23 (t, J = 7.1) Hz, 3H), 1.67−1.79 (m, 1H), 2.30−2.58 (m, 3H), 4.05 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.79 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 5.14−5.22 (m, 1H), 5.83 (dd, J = 1.0, 11.5 Hz, 1H), 6.02 (dd, J = 9.1, 11.5 Hz, 1H), 7.18−7.34 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.0, 24.8, 30.0, 45.2, 55.2, 60.4, 122.2, 127.4, 128.3, 128.4, 136.5, 148.0, 165.2, 175.0.

(E)-10e-L. More polar diastereomer, data read from spectrum of the diastereomeric mixture: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.31 (t, J = 7.1) Hz, 3H), 1.79−1.88 (m, 1H), 2.16−2.27 (m, 1H), 2.42−2.58 (m, 2H), 3.82 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 4.00–4.15 (m, 1H), 4.22 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 5.03 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 5.86 (dd, J = 0.8, 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (dd, J = 8.2, 15.6 Hz, 1H) 7.18–7.34 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.1, 24.6, 29.5, 44.6, 57.9, 60.7, 123.4, 127.6, 128.3, 128.7, 136.1, 145.8, 165.5, 174.7.

1,1′-Dibenzyl-2,2′-bipyrrolidine-5,5′-dione (10f). Following the general protocol A (in the absence of an α , β -unsaturated compound), the $SmI₂$ -mediated homocoupling of hemiaminal 9 afforded 10f-H (less polar diastereomer) in 16.5% yield and 10f-L (more polar diastereomer) in 16.5% yield, along with the reduced product 11 in 65% yield.

10f-H. Colorless oil: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.61–1.73 (m, 0.1).
(1, 2,00–2,12 (m, 2H), 2,42–2,54 (m, 4H), 3,50 (d, I = 15,3 Hz, 2H). 2H), 2.00−2.12 (m, 2H), 2.42−2.54 (m, 4H), 3.50 (d, J = 15.3 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (dd, J = 4.9, 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.19 (d, J = 15.3 Hz, 2H), 7.05−7.11 (m, 4H), 7.24–7.36 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.0 (2C), 29.8 (2C), 44.9 (2C), 57.1 (2C), 127.7 (3C), 127.8 (4C), 128.8 (3C), 135.7 (2C), 175.8 (2C).

10f-L. White solid: mp 133.4–134.4 °C (EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400
Hz CDCL) δ 1 56–1 78 (m 4H) 2 35–2 53 (m 4H) 3 72–3 80 (m MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.56−1.78 (m, 4H), 2.35−2.53 (m, 4H), 3.72−3.80 (m, 2H), 3.78 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 2H), 4.81 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 2H), 6.93–7.06 (m, 4H), 7.23–7.33 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 17.0 (2C), 30.0 (2C), 44.9 (2C), 56.0 (2C), 127.7 (3C), 128.1 (4C), 128.7 (3C), 135.7 (2C), 175.1 (2C).

10f. Data: IR (KBr) 3018, 2921, 1686, 1408, 1250 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI, *m*/
349 (M + H⁺) Anal Calcd for C₂₂H₂, N₂O₂, C₂ 75.83; H₂ 6.94; N z) 349 (M + H⁺). Anal. Calcd for $C_{22}H_{24}N_2O_2$: C, 75.83; H, 6.94; N, 8.04. Found: C, 76.14; H, 7.28; N, 8.27.

(4S,5R)-1-Benzyl-4-benzyloxy-5-[2-(ethyloxycarbonyl)ethyl] pyrrolidin-2-one (15a). Following the general protocol B, the $SmI₂$ mediated cross-coupling of sulfone 18 with ethyl acrylate afforded 15a in 90% yield as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +41.3 (c 1.2, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3022, 2931, 1733, 1695, 1456, 1091 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.21 $(t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 3H)$, 1.59–1.70 (m, 1H), 1.95–2.05 (m, 1H), 2.15–2.31 $(m, 2H)$, 2.54 (dd, J = 2.4, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.74 (ddd, J = 0.8, 6.4, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (ddd, J = 2.0, 3.2, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (ddd, J = 2.0, 2.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.39 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 7.19–7.39 $(m, 10H);$ ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.0, 25.7, 29.5, 37.1, 43.9, 60.6, 62.1, 70.5, 75.4, 127.4, 127.5 (2C), 127.8 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 128.4 $(2C)$, 128.6, 136.0, 137.2, 172.3, 172.4; MS (ESI m/z) 382 (M + H⁺). Anal. Calcd for $C_{23}H_{27}NO_4$: C, 72.42; H, 7.13; N, 3.67. Found: C, 72.38; H, 7.30; N, 3.58.

(4S,5R)-1-Benzyl-4-Benzyloxy-5-[2-(tert-butyloxycarbonyl) ethyl]-pyrrolidin-2-one (15b). Following the general protocol B, the SmI₂-mediated cross-coupling of sulfone 18 with tert-butyl acrylate afforded 15b in 75% yield as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D +34.7 (c 1.2, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3125, 3030, 1725, 1693, 1406, 1148 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 1.39 (s, 9H), 1.53−1.65 (m, 1H), 1.90−2.01 (m, 1H), 2.06−2.23 (m, 2H), 2.52 (dd, J = 2.0, 17.5 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (dd, J = 6.5, 17.5 Hz, $1H$), 3.49 (ddd, $J = 1.8$, 3.0 , 9.2 Hz, $1H$), 3.85 (ddd, $J = 1.8$, 2.0 , 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, $J = 12.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.04 (d, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.18–7.34 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 25.6, 27.8 (3C), 30.6, 37.1, 43.8, 62.1, 70.3, 75.3, 80.7, 127.3 (2C), 127.4 (2C), 127.7 (2C), 128.3 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 136.0, 137.2, 171.5, 172.3; MS (ESI, m/z) 410 (M + H⁺). Anal. Calcd for C25H31NO4: C, 73.32; H, 7.63; N, 3.42. Found: C, 73.48; H, 7.92; N, 3.58.

(4S,5R)-1-Benzyl-4-benzyloxy-5-(2-cyanoethyl)-pyrrolidin-2 one (15c). Following the general protocol B, the $SmI₂$ -mediated crosscoupling of sulfone 18 with acrylonitrile afforded (4S, 5S)-15c (less polar diastereomer) in 6% yield and (4S,5R)-15c (more polar diastereomer) in 69% yield.

(4S,5R)-15c-L. Colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +45.9 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3031, 2930, 2250, 1692, 1448, 1356, 1091 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.58−1.68 (m, 1H), 1.94−2.03 (m, 1H), 2.11−2.28 (m, 2H), 2.55 (dd, J = 3.4, 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (dd, J = 6.8, 17.4 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (ddd, $J = 3.0, 3.0, 9.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.91 (ddd, $J = 3.0, 3.4, 6.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.06 (d, $J =$ 15.3 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (d, $J = 11.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, $J = 11.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.93 (d, J = 15.3 Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.50 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.0, 26.9, 37.0, 44.4, 62.0, 70.9, 75.2, 118.5, 127.7 (2C), 127.8 (2C), 128.1 (2C), 128.6 (2C), 128.9 (2C), 135.7, 136.9, 172.2; MS (ESI, m/z) 335 (M + H⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₂N₂O₂: C, 75.42; H, 6.63; N, 8.38. Found: C, 75.04; H, 6.85; N, 8.22.

(4S,5R)-1-Benzyl-4-benzyloxy-5-[2-(ethyloxycarbonyl) propyl]pyrrolidin-2-one (15d). Following the general protocol B, the SmI2-mediated cross-coupling of sulfone 18 with ethyl methacrylate afforded 15d-H (less polar diastereomer) in 31% yield and 15d-L (more polar diastereomer) in 46% yield.

15d-H. Colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{20}$ +65.2 (c 0.8, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400
Hz CDCL) δ 1.08 (d $I = 7.0$ Hz 3H) 1.22 (t $I = 7.1$ Hz 3H) 1.62 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.08 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.62 $(ddd, J = 3.7, 7.0, 14.2 Hz, 1H), 1.83 (ddd, J = 7.0, 8.9, 14.2 Hz, 1H),$ 2.33−2.43 (m, 1H), 2.54 (dd, J = 2.1, 17.5 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (dd, J = 6.4, 17.5 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (ddd, J = 1.6, 3.7, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (d, J = 15.4 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (ddd, J = 1.6, 2.1, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.02−4.15 (m, 2H), 4.37 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (d, J = 15.4 Hz, 1H), 7.12− 7.40 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.1, 17.6, 34.6, 36.0, 37.2, 43.9, 60.8, 61.1, 70.5, 76.2, 127.5 (2C), 127.8 (3C), 128.4 (3C), 128.6 (2C), 136.0, 137.3, 172.5, 175.7.

15d-L. Colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +21.0 (c 1.2, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400
Hz CDCL) δ 1.09 (t $I = 7.1$ Hz 3H) 1.14 (d $I = 7.0$ Hz 3H) 1.22 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.09 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.14 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.22 $(ddd, J = 3.4, 11.0, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.09 (ddd, J = 2.8, 11.2, 14.0 Hz, 1H),$ 2.38−2.48 (m, 1H), 2.51 (dd, J = 1.7, 17.5 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (ddd, J = 6.2, 17.4 Hz, $1H$), 3.44 (ddd, $J = 1.1$, 2.8 , 11.0 Hz, $1H$), 3.83 (ddd, $J = 1.1$, 1.7 , 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.38 (d, J $= 12.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.42 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 7.19−7.35 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.0, 18.5, 34.6,

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Article Article 1996 **Article** Article 1996 **Article** 1996 **Article** 1997 **Article**

36.1, 37.0, 43.9, 60.6, 61.1, 70.2, 75.6, 127.4, 127.5 (2C), 127.8 (2C), 128.0 (2C), 128.4 (2C), 128.5, 136.2, 137.4, 172.3, 175.4.

15d. Data: IR (film) 3046, 2978, 2934, 1730, 1695, 1455, 1161, 1092, 1028 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI, *m/z*) 396 (M + H⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₂₉NO₄: C, 72.89; H, 7.39; N, 3.54. Found: C, 72.55; H, 7.74; N, 3.62.

(2S,2′R,3S,3′S)-1,1′-dibenzyl-3,3′-bis(benzyloxy)-2,2′-bipyrrolidin-5,5′-dione (15e). Following the general protocol B (in the absence of an α , β -unsaturated compound), the SmI₂-mediated homocoupling of sulfone 18 afforded 15e in 21% yield, along with the reduced product 16 in 9% yield and the elimination product 19 in 50% yield.

15e. Colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{20}$ – 7.9 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3029, 1689, 04. 1092 cm⁻¹: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.44 (dd, I = 9.5, 16.8) 1404, 1092 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.44 (dd, J = 9.5, 16.8 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (d, J = 17.8 Hz, 1H), 2.64–2.76 (m, 2H), 3.44 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (dd, J = 1.3, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (s, 1H), 4.03 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), $4.22-4.30$ (m, 1H), 4.33 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 5.31 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 6.91–7.31 $(m, 20H)$; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 36.9, 38.5, 44.3, 45.3, 56.6, 62.6, 70.1, 72.4, 72.8, 73.0, 127.3, 127.6 (2C), 127.7 (2C), 127.8 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 128.07 (2C), 128.12 (2C), 128.2 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 128.8 (2C), 128.9, 135.0, 135.3, 136.3, 137.4, 171.9, 173.8; MS (ESI, m/z) 561 $(M + H⁺)$. Anal. Calcd for C₃₆H₃₆N₂O₄: C, 77.12; H, 6.47; N, 5.00. Found: C, 76.95; H, 6.10; N, 4.80.
1-Benzyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (19). Yellow oil: IR (film) 3124,

1-Benzyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (19). Yellow oil: IR (film) 3124, 3030, 1678, 1404 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.01 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 2H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 5.16–5.20 (dt, $J = 5.0$, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.21–6.25 (dt, J = 5.0, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.12–7.27 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 37.2, 45.4, 104.3, 127.5 (2C), 127.6 (2C), 128.6, 132.5, 136.6, 176.7; MS (ESI, m/z) 174 (M + H⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₁NO: C, 76.28; H, 6.40; N, 8.09. Found: C, 76.23; H, 6.12; N, 7.76.

(R)-1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-4-benzyloxy-5-(pyridin-2-ylthio) **pyrrolidin-2-one (21a).** To a solution of (R) -1-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3-(benzyloxy)pyrrolidine-2,5-dione (1.00 g, 3.1 mmol) in MeOH (68 mL) was added NaBH4 (351 mg, 9.2 mmol) at −15 °C with intensive stirring, and 30 min later another NaBH₄ (234 mg, 6.2 mmol) was added. After being intensively stirred for another 15 min, the reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO_3 (10 mL), and the resulting mixture was extracted with cooled CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 30 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After concentration under reduced pressure, the crude aza-hemiacetal was obtained as white solid, which could be used without further purification. To the mixture of the crude aza-hemiacetal, $CaCl₂$ (684 mg, 6.2 mmol) and pyridine-2-thiol (513 mg, 4.6 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (25 mL) was added $BF_3·Et_2O$ (0.38 mL, 3.1 mmol) under nitrogen atmosphere at 0 °C. After being stirred at room temperature for 5 h, the reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} \left(2\right)$ mL), and the resulting mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 15 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (2 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent: EtOAc/PE 1:2) to afford 21a as a diastereomeric mixture (diastereomeric ratio: 3:1, determined by the integral of by ${}^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR) in a combined yield (828 mg, 64%) as a yellow oil: IR (film) 3030, 1705, 1578, 1454, 1415, 1124, 1087, 1070, 759, 698 cm[−]¹ ; MS (ESI, m/z) 421 $(M + H^*)$; HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{24}H_{24}N_2O_3SNa]^+$ $(M + Na^+)$ 443.1400, found 443.1404.

More polar diastereomer (data read from spectrum of the diastereomeric mixture): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.56 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (dd, J = 6.0, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 4.02 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.07 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 5.72 (s, 1H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.05−7.07 (m, 2H), 7.18−7.32 (m, 7H), 7.50−7.54 (m, 1H), 8.36−8.37 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 37.3, 43.4, 55.1, 67.4, 70.8, 78.5, 113.8 (2C), 120.2, 123.2, 127.5, 127.6, 127.7 (2C), 128.2 (2C), 129.2 (2C), 136.3, 137.7, 149.3, 156.7, 158.9, 173.2.

Less polar diastereomer (data read from spectrum of the diastereomeric mixture): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.65 (dd, J = 16.8, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (dd, J = 16.8, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.96 (d, J $= 14.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.42–4.45 (m, 1H), 4.44 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (d, J

 $= 11.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.99 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 6.31 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.79 $(d, J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 7.05−7.07 (m, 2H), 7.18−7.32 (m, 7H), 7.50−7.54 $(m, 1H)$, 8.36–8.37 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 36.7, 43.3, 55.1, 68.0, 71.7, 73.0, 113.7 (2C), 120.2, 123.6, 127.5, 127.6, 127.9 (2C), 128.2 (2C), 129.5 (2C), 136.3, 137.1, 149.1, 156.8, 158.8, 170.9.

(R)-1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-4-benzyloxy-5-(pyridin-2 ylsulfonyl)pyrrolidin-2-one (21). A solution of 21a (1.00 g, 2.4 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (25 mL) was cooled to 0 °C, to which was added NaHCO₃ (1.4 g, 16.7 mmol) and MCPBA (70-75%, balance 3chlorobenzoic acid and water) (1.20 g, 7.1 mmol). After being stirred for 30 min at 0 °C and for 4 h at room temperature, the reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO_3 (25 mL), and the resulting mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 \times 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent: EtOAc/PE 1:2) to afford 21 (968 mg) as a diastereomeric mixture (diastereomeric ratio: 3:1, determined by the integral of ${}^{1}H$ NMR) in a combined yield of 90%. Yellow oil: IR (film) 3125, 3027, 1716, 1404, 1316, 1108 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI, m/z) 453 (M + H⁺); HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{24}H_{24}N_2O_5SNa]^+$ $(M + Na^+)$ 475.1304, found 475.1309.

More polar diastereomer (data read from spectrum of the diastereomeric mixture): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.47 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (dd, J = 6.0, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.88 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.72 $(d, J = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 5.03 (s, 1H), 5.14 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 6.81–6.92 (m, 2H), 7.07−7.11 (m, 3H), 7.23−7.29 (m, 4H), 7.62−7.66 (m, 1H), 8.00−8.08 (m, 2H), 8.76−8.77 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 37.4, 44.9, 55.1, 70.9, 72.5, 79.6, 114.2 (2C), 123.9, 126.5, 127.4, 127.7 (2C), 128.0 (2C), 128.3 (2C), 130.2, 136.4, 138.6, 150.5, 155.6, 159.2, 174.1.

Less polar diastereomer (data read from spectrum of the diastereomeric mixture): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.56 (dd, J = 8.0, 16.0 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (dd, J = 16.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 4.07 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.18−4.24 (m, 1H), 4.36 (d, $J = 14.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.31 (d, $J = 14.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.36 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.81−6.92 (m, 2H), 7.07−7.11 (m, 3H), 7.23−7.29 (m, 4H), 7.62−7.66 (m, 1H), 8.00−8.08 (m, 2H), 8.76−8.77 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 35.0, 45.2, 55.1, 72.2, 73.7, 74.9, 114.1 (2C), 122.6, 126.5, 127.0, 127.6 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 128.2 (2C), 129.6, 136.1, 137.6, 149.3, 155.1, 158.2, 172.1.

(4R, 5S)-1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-4-benzyloxy-5-[2- (Methyloxycarbonyl)ethyl]-pyrrolidin-2-one (22). Following the general protocol B, the SmI₂-mediated cross-coupling of 21 with ethyl acrylate afforded 22 in 90% yield as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D –34.7 (c 1.4, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3031, 2928, 1736, 1689, 1513, 1246, 1090 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.65−1.68 (m, 1H), 2.00−2.02 (m, 1H), 2.22−2.28 (m, 2H), 2.52 (dd, J = 2.4, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.74 (dd, J = 6.4, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (dt, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.85 (dt, $J = 6.4$, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (d, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, $J = 12.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 5.00 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.19–7.35 (m, 7H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 25.8, 29.4, 37.3, 43.5, 51.8, 55.2, 62.1, 70.6, 75.5, 114.1 (2C), 127.6. 127.9 (2C), 128.1 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 129.3, 137.3, 159.0, 172.4, 172.9; HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{23}H_{27}NO_5Na]^+ (M + Na^+)$ 420.1781, found 420.1781.

(4R,5S)-1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-4-benzyloxy-5-[2-(N-methoxy-N-methylaminocarbonyl)ethyl]pyrrolidin-2-one (23). To a solution of N,O-dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (84 mg, 0.51 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (4.5 mL) was added Me₃Al (0.51 mL of a 1 M solution in toluene, 0.51 mmol) dropwise at 0 °C. After stirring for 1 h, a solution of 22 (100 mg, 0.17 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) was added. After being stirred for 24 h at room temperature, the reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of $KHSO₄$ (2 mL), and the resulting mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (2 mL) , dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent: EtOAc/PE 2:1) to afford 23 (113 mg, yield: 70%) as a colorless oil: [α]²⁰_D –22.1 (c 1.4, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3030, 2923, 1686, 1664, 1513, 1246, 1030 cm⁻¹; ¹H

NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.64−1.73 (m, 1H), 2.03−2.04 (m, 1H), 2.34−2.36 (m, 2H), 2.52 (d, J = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (dd, J = 6.4, 17.2 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (s, 3H), 3.50 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.89−3.91 (m, 1H), 3.93 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.01 (d, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.84 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.20−7.31 (m, 7H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 25.1, 27.0, 32.3, 37.2, 43.3, 55.1, 61.1, 62.3, 70.4, 75.6, 113.9 (2C), 127.5 (2C), 127.7 (2C), 128.1 (2C), 128.3, 129.2, 137.3, 158.9, 172.3, 172.9; HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{24}H_{30}N_2O_5Na]^+$ $(M + Na^+)$ 449.2047, found 449.2046.

(4R,5S)-1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-4-benzyloxy-5-[3-oxo-4-(3- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl)butyl]pyrrolidin-2-one (24). To a solution of 23 (120 mg, 0.3 mmol) in THF (12 mL) was added (3- (trifluoromethyl)benzyl)magnesium bromide (1.1 mL, 0.5 M in $Et₂O$) dropwise at −78 °C with stirring. After being stirred for 45 min, the reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of $NH₄Cl$ (10 mL), and the resulting mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3×10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: EtOAc/PE 1:1) to give 24 (118 mg, yield: 80%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D –24.0 (c 0.8, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3054, 2916, 1720, 1687, 1331, 1265, 1125, 739 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.54−1.63 (m, 1H), 1.95−2.03 (m, 1H), 2.38 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.51 $(dd, J = 2.8, 17.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 2.72 \text{ (dd, } J = 6.8, 17.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 3.43 \text{ (dt, } J =$ 8.8, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 2H), 3.77–3.79 (m, 4H), 3.96 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.19–7.53 (m, 11H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 24.3, 37.07, 37.12, 43.4, 49.1, 55.1, 62.1, 70.5, 75.6, 113.9 (2C), 122.5 (CF₃), 123.9, 125.2 (CF₃), 126.0, 127.6, 127.8 (2C), 128.1 (2C), 128.4 (2C), 129.0, 129.2, 130.7, 131.0, 132.7, 134.5, 137.2, 158.9, 172.1, 205.3; HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{30}H_{30}F_3NO_4Na]^+ (M + Na^+)$ 548.2019, found 548.2025.

(4R,5S,8R/S)-1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-4-benzyloxy-5-[3-hydroxy-4-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)butyl]pyrrolidin-2-one (8R)-25a and (8S)-25b. To a solution of 24 (110 mg, 0.21 mmol) in anhydrous THF under nitrogen was added (R)-2-methyl-CBSoxazaborolidine (5.8 mg, 0.21 mmol) at −40 °C, and then borane-THF complex (0.32 mL, 0.32 mmol) was slowly added dropwise. After being stirred for 25 h, the reaction was quenched with MeOH (1 mL). The contents of the reaction vessel were poured into EtOAc, and then the combined organic phases were washed with 2 N HCl, a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ and brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: EtOAc/PE 1:1) to give (8R)-25a (80 mg, yield: 73%) and (8S)-25b (27 mg, yield: 24%) as colorless oils: IR (film) 3383, 3020, 2923, 1673, 1513, 1330, 1122, 1073 cm⁻¹; HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{30}H_{32}F_3NO_4Na]^+$ $(M + Na^+)$ 550.2176, found 550.2175.

(8R)-25a. Data: $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{20}$ – 17.8 (c 0.8, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, OCL) δ 1.39–1.46 (m. 2H) 1.54–1.63 (m. 1H) 1.70–1.78 (m. 1H) CDCl₃) δ 1.39−1.46 (m, 2H), 1.54−1.63 (m, 1H), 1.70−1.78 (m, 1H), 2.05 (br s, 1H, D₂O exchangeable), 2.54 (dd, J = 2.4, 17.2 Hz, 1H), 2.68 $(dd, J = 8.4, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (dd, J = 6.4, 17.2 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (dd, J =$ 4.4, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (dt, J = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.72–3.78 (m, 1H), 3.80 $(s, 3H)$, 3.87 (dt, J = 6.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J $= 11.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 6.85 $(d, J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.20-7.54 \text{ (m, 11H)}; \text{ ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃)}$ δ 26.6, 31.8, 37.4, 43.4, 43.9, 55.2, 62.8, 70.6, 71.5, 75.8, 114.1 (2C), 122.9 (CF₃), 123.4, 125.6 (CF₃), 126.0, 127.7 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 128.2 (2C), 128.4 (2C), 128.9, 129.2, 130.6, 130.9, 132.8, 137.5, 139.5, 159.1, 172.6.

(8S)-25b. Data: $\left[\alpha\right]^{20}$ D –13.9 (c 0.9, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz,
OCl₂) δ 1 32–1 44 (m, 3H) 1 85–1 96 (m, 1H) 2 33 (br s, 1H, D₂O CDCl3) δ 1.32−1.44 (m, 3H), 1.85−1.96 (m, 1H), 2.33 (br s, 1H, D2O exchangeable), 2.51 (dd, J = 2.0, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (dd, J = 13.6, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dd, J = 17.6, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (dd, J = 13.6, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.52- 3.54 (m, 1H), 3.69−3.70 (m, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.84−3.86 (m, 1H), 3.98 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.20–7.52 (m, 11H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 27.0, 31.8, 37.4, 43.6, 43.8, 55.2, 63.2, 70.6, 72.0, 75.8, 114.1 (2C), 122.8 (CF₃), 123.4, 125.5 (CF₃), 126.0, 127.7 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 128.3 (2C), 128.4 (2C), 128.9, 129.2, 130.6, 130.9, 132.8, 137.5, 139.4, 159.1, 172.6.

(4R,5S,8R)-1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-4-benzyloxy-5-[3-benzyloxy-4-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)butyl]pyrrolidin-2-one (26). To a solution of 25b (55 mg, 0.104 mmol) with NaH (7.5 mg, 0.31 mmol) and Bu4NI (10.3 mg, 0.02 mol) in THF (1 mL) was added BnBr (0.04 mL, 0.31 mmol) dropwise at 0 °C with stirring. After being stirred for 18 h at room temperature, the reaction was quenched with H_2O (2) mL), and the resulting mixture was extracted with $Et₂O$ (3 \times 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: EtOAc/PE 1:2) to give 26 (52 mg, yield: 80%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ – 15.3 (c 0.8, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3030, 2922, 1689, 1330, 1122, 699 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.37−1.56 (m, 3H), 1.67−1.75 (m, 1H), 2.54 (dd, J = 2.4, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (dd, J = 6.4, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (dd, J = 5.2, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.91 (dd, J = 6.8, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.45 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.54–3.60 (m, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.81 $(dt, J = 6.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, J = 11.6 Hz,$ 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.21-7.53 (m, 14H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 26.2, 29.0, 37.4, 40.4, 43.5, 55.2, 62.9, 70.6, 71.8, 75.7, 79.2, 114.1 (2C), 122.9 (CF_3) , 123.2, 125.6 (CF_3) , 126.1, 127.7 (2C), 127.77 (2C), 127.83 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 128.3 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 128.8, 129.1, 130.5, 130.8, 132.9, 137.5, 138.1, 139.5, 159.0, 172.4; HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{37}H_{38}F_3NO_4Na]^+ (M + Na^+)$ 640.2645, found 640.2637.

(4 R , 5 S , 8 R)-4-Benzyloxy-5-(3-benzyloxy-4-[3- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl)butyl]pyrrolidin-2-one (27). To a solution of 26 (46 mg, 0.07 mmol) in a mixed solvent system (MeCN/H₂O) 9:1, v/v, 1.8 mL) was added ceric ammonium nitrate (203 mg, 0.37 mmol) at 0 °C. After being stirred at the same temperature for 2 h, the mixture was allowed to react at room temperature for 4 h. The reaction was quenched with H₂O (2 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (5 mL) and brine (5 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: EtOAc/PE 1:1) to give 27 (29 mg, yield: 78%) as a colorless oil: $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack^{20}$ -13.6 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3229, 3030, 2924, 1703, 1330, 1123, 1073 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.51−1.72 (m, 4H), 2.42 $(dd, J = 4.0, 17.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 2.63 \text{ (dd, } J = 7.2, 17.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 2.83 \text{ (dd, } J =$ 5.2, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (dd, J = 6.8, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.57−3.65 (m, 2H), 3.85−3.87 (m, 1H), 4.44 (s, 2H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (s, 1H), 7.21−7.52 (m, 14H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 30.0, 30.4, 37.0, 40.4, 60.6, 71.2, 71.6, 79.0, 79.2, 122.9 (CF₃), 123.2, 125.6 (CF₃), 126.2, 127.7 (2C), 127.8 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 128.0 (2C), 128.4, 128.5, 128.8, 130.5, 130.8, 132.9, 137.5, 138.0, 139.6, 175.3; HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{29}H_{30}F_3NO_3Na]^+ (M + Na^+)$ 520.2070, found 520.2064.

iso-Propyl (4R,5S,8R)-5-(3-(3-benzyloxy-2-(3-benzyloxy-4-(3- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl)butyl)-5-oxopyrrolidin-1-yl)propyl) thiophene-2-carboxylate (29). To a mixture of KOH powders (29 mg, 0.52 mmol) and $Bu₄NBr$ (50 mg, 0.16 mol) in THF (5 mL) was added 27 (26 mg, 0.05 mmol) in THF (1 mL), and then a solution of 28 (35 mg, 0.10 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added dropwise at 0 °C. After being stirred for 4 h at room temperature, the reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of $NH₄Cl$ (1 mL), and the resulting mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3×10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 , filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: EtOAc/ PE 1:2) to give 29 (22.1 mg, yield: 60%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ –5.8 (c 1.0, CHCl3); IR (film) 3030, 2919, 1702, 1674, 1455, 1264, 1089, 739 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.35 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 6H), 1.44− 1.47 (m, 2H), 1.61−1.68 (m, 2H), 1.80−1.89 (m, 2H), 2.46 (dd, J = 2.4, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (dd, J = 6.4, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.82–2.95 (m, 5H), 3.51– 3.63 (m, 2H), $3.69 - 3.76$ (m, 1H), 3.79 (dt, J = 6.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.40 (d, $J = 12.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (m, 1H), 6.76 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.19 -7.51 (m, 14H), 7.61 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H) ; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 21.9 (2C), 26.5, 27.6, 29.0, 29.2, 37.1, 39.4, 40.4, 63.9, 68.5, 70.7, 71.8, 75.7, 79.1, 122.8 (CF₃), 123.3, 125.5 (CF₃), 125.8, 126.2, 127.6, 127.8 (2C), 127.86 (2C), 127.94 (2C), 128.4 (2C), 128.5, 128.8, 130.6, 130.9, 132.0, 132.8, 133.3, 137.5, 137.9, 139.4, 151.9, 161.8, 172.4; HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{40}H_{44}F_3NO_5SNa]^+ (M + Na^+)$ 730.2784, found 730.2793.

iso-Propyl (4R,5S,8R)-5-(3-(3-hydroxy-2-(3-hydroxy-4-(3- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl)butyl)-5-oxopyrrolidin-1-yl)propyl) thiophene-2-carboxylate (30). To a solution of 29 (12 mg, 0.017 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.1 mL) was added BBr₃ (0.5 M, 0.068 mL, 0.034 mmol) at −10 °C. After being stirred for 40 min, the reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of $NAHCO₃$ and the resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: EtOAc) to give 30 (6 mg, yield: 70%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D – 5.6 (c 0.6, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3390, 2928, 1702, 1687, 1460, 1330, 1284, 1091, 799, 703 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.31 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6H), 1.52–1.58 (m, 3H), 1.75 –1.97 $(m, 4H)$, 2.07 (br s, 1H, D₂O exchangeable), 2.31 (d, J = 17.0 Hz, 1H), $2.69-2.96$ (m, 6H), 3.48 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.67-3.73 (m, 1H), 3.86-3.89 (m, 1H), 4.16−4.17 (m, 1H), 5.15 (m, 1H), 6.79 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.38−7.44 (m, 2H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 7.50 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J $= 4.0$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.9 (2C), 26.9, 27.7, 29.0, 32.0, 39.5, 40.2, 44.0, 66.5, 68.6, 69.4, 71.9, 123.0 (CF₃), 123.6, 125.2 (CF₃), 125.6, 126.0, 129.1, 130.7, 131.0, 132.1, 132.8, 133.3, 139.1, 152.0, 161.9, 172.4; HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{26}H_{32}F_{3}NO_{5}Sn]^{+}$ $(M + Na⁺)$ 550.1845, found 550.1848.

(4R,5S,8R)-5-(3-(3-Hydroxy-2-(3-hydroxy-4-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)butyl)-5-oxopyrrolidin-1-yl)propyl) thiophene-2-carboxylic acid (31). To a solution of 30 $(11 \text{ mg}, 0.021)$ mmol) in the mixture of MeOH/THF/H₂O (2.5 mL, 3:3:1) was added LiOH·H₂O (7.8 mg, 0.19 mmol) at 0 °C. After being stirred for 3 days at room temperature, the reaction was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in water (2 mL) and then neutralized by an aqueous solution of HCl $(2 N)$ until pH = 2, and the resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 4 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ 1:10) to give 31 (9.7 mg, yield: 95%) as a white waxy solid: $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D +7.1 (c 0.9, MeOH); IR (film) 3357, 2932, 1736, 1665, 1331, 1122, 1074 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 1.42−1.60 (m, 3H), 1.74−1.77 (m, 1H), 1.88−1.97 (m, 2H), 2.22 (dd, J = 1.5, 17.5 Hz, 1H), 2.74 (dd, J = 6.0, 17.5 Hz, 1H), 2.77−2.90 (m, 4H), 3.00−3.02 (m, 1H), 3.49−3.50 (m, 1H), 3.63−3.68 (m, 1H), 3.80−3.85 (m, 1H), 4.12−4.14 (m, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 7.45−7.52 (m, 4H), 7.55 (s, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 27.5, 28.4, 30.1, 33.2, 40.7, 40.8, 44.6, 68.7, 69.5, 72.7, 123.9 (CF₃), 124.7, 126.5 (CF₃), 126.9, 127.1, 130.0, 131.3, 131.6, 132.4, 133.2, 134.4, 141.8, 152.3, 168.4, 175.5; $[C_{23}H_{25}F_3NO_5S]$ ⁻ (M – H⁺) 484.1411, found 484.1394.

Methyl (4R,5S,8R)-7-(3-benzyloxy-2-(3-benzyloxy-4-(3- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl)butyl)-5-oxopyrrolidin-1-yl) heptanoate (33). To a mixture of KOH powders (82 mg, 1.5 mmol) and $Bu₄NBr$ (142 mg, 0.44 mol) in THF (5 mL) was added 27 (73 mg, 0.15 mmol) in THF (7 mL), and then a solution of 32 (79 mg, 0.29 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added dropwise at 0 °C. After being stirred for 4 h at room temperature, the reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of $NH₄Cl$ (1 mL), and the resulting mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3×10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 , filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: EtOAc/PE 1:2) to give 33 (58 mg, yield: 60%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ –6.0 (c 0.7, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3031, 2928, 1736, 1688, 1330, 1122, 699 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.26−1.37 (m, 4H), 1.44−1.52 (m, 5H), 1.58−1.70 (m, $3H$), 2.30 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.45 (dd, J = 2.4, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (dd, J = 6.4, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.75−2.83 (m, 2H), 2.96 (dd, J = 6.4, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.52−3.54 (m, 1H), 3.61−3.66 (m, 1H), 3.66−3.70 (m, 1H), 3.67 (s,

3H), 3.78 (dt, J = 6.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 7.20– 7.53 (m, 14H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 24.7, 26.3, 26.4, 26.9, 28.7, 29.1, 33.9, 37.1, 39.9, 40.4, 51.4, 63.6, 70.7, 71.7, 75.6, 79.2, 122.8 (CF_3) , 123.2, 125.5 (CF_3) , 126.1, 127.6 (2C), 127.7 (2C), 127.8 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 128.39 (2C), 128.45, 128.8, 130.5, 130.8, 137.5, 137.9, 139.4, 172.0, 174.1; HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{37}H_{44}F_3NO_5Na]^+ (M + Na^+)$ 662.3064, found 662.3069.

Methyl (4R,5S,8R)-7-(3-hydroxy-2-(3-hydroxy-4-(3- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl)butyl)-5-oxopyrrolidin-1-yl) heptanoate (34). To a solution of 33 (54 mg, 0.08 mmol) in methanol (3 mL) was added Pd/C 10% (54 mg). After the reaction flask was purged with hydrogen, the reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The mixture was then filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give 34 (35 mg, yield: 90%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ – 6.8 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3380, 2919, 1725, 1674, 1122, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 1.29−1.35 (m, 5H), 1.51−1.62 (m, 6H), 1.71−1.81 (m, 1H), 2.20 (dd, J $= 1.6, 17.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.31 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.72 (dd, J = 6.4, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.77−2.97 (m, 3H), 3.46 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.55−3.60 (m, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.80–3.86 (m, 1H), 4.11 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.47–7.52 $(m, 3H)$, 7.56 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 25.8, 27.3, 27.4, 27.9, 29.7, 33.1, 34.7, 40.8, 41.2, 44.6, 51.9, 68.4, 69.5, 72.7, 123.9 (CF₃), 124.5, 127.1 (CF₃), 129.85, 129.96, 131.3, 131.6, 134.4, 141.8, 175.2, 175.9; HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{23}H_{32}F_3NO_5Na]^+ (M + Na^+)$ 482.2125, found 482.2131.

(4R, 5S, 8R)-7-(3-Hydroxy-2-(3-hydroxy-4-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)butyl)-5-oxopyrrolidin-1-yl)heptanoic acid (35). To a solution of 34 (30 mg, 0.065 mmol) in the mixture of MeOH/THF/H₂O (2.5 mL, 3:3:1) was added LiOH·H₂O (8.2 mg, 0.195 mmol) at 0 °C. After being stirred for 24 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in water (2 mL) and then neutralized by an aqueous solution of HCl $(2 N)$ until pH = 2, and the resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 4$ mL). The combined organic phases were then dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ 1:10) to give 38 (28 mg, yield: 95%) as a white waxy solid: $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D +10.6 (c 1.0, MeOH); IR (film) 3359, 2931, 1661, 1330, 1121, 1074 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 1.29−1.40 (m, 5H), 1.44−1.62 (m, 6H), 1.76−1.80 (m, 1H), 2.21 (dd, J = 2.0, 17.5 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.72 (dd, J = 6.5, 17.5 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (dd, J = 7.5, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (dd, J = 5.0, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.91−2.97 (m, 1H), 3.47 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.56−3.62 (m, 1H), 3.81−3.86 (m, 1H), 4.11 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 7.46–7.52 (m, 3H), 7.56 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 26.3, 27.38, 27.44, 27.9, 29.8, 33.1, 35.9, 40.8, 41.2, 44.6, 68.5, 69.5, 72.7, 123.9 (CF₃), 124.7, 126.9 (CF₃), 127.1, 130.0, 131.4, 131.6, 134.4, 141.8, 175.2, 179.5; HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{22}H_{29}F_3NO_5]$ ⁻ (M – H⁺) 444.2003, found 444.1989.

(4R,5S,8R)-1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-4-hydroxy-5-[3-hydroxy-4- (3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)butyl]pyrrolidin-2-one (36). To a solution of $(8R)$ -25a $(14 \text{ mg}, 0.027 \text{ mmol})$ in methanol (3 mL) was added Pd/C 10% (13 mg). After the reaction flask was purged with hydrogen, the reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The mixture was then filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give 36 (11 mg, yield: 90%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D –15.6 (c 1.1, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3417, 2919, 2353, 1666, 1331, 1247, 1121 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.36–1.56 (m, 3H), 1.84−1.93 (m, 1H), 2.36 (dd, J = 2.4, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (dd, J $= 8.6, 13.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.76 (dd, J = 6.7, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (dd, J = 4.4, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.37−3.39 (m, 1H), 3.67−3.71 (m, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.98 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 4.13−4.15 (m, 1H), 4.84 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.40− 7.44 (m, 2H), 7.50 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 27.2, 31.6, 40.1, 43.7, 43.9, 55.2, 66.0, 69.1, 72.3, 114.1 (2C), 122.7 (CF_3) , 123.5, 125.4 (CF_3) , 125.9, 128.3 (2C), 129.0, 129.3, 130.8, 131.1, 132.8, 139.1, 159.1, 172.6; HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{23}H_{26}F_3NO_4Na]^+$ (M + Na+) 460.1700, found 460.1709.

Mosher Esters of (8S)-25b: Compounds 37a and 37b. A solution of (S) - $(-)$ -MTPA (7.9 mg, 0.034 mmol) in 1 mL of DCM was cooled to 0° C for 10 min. To this solution was successively added (8S)-25b (15.0 mg, 0.028 mmol) followed by EDCI (10.7 mg, 0.056 mmol) and DMAP (1.0 mg, 0.008 mmol). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched by a saturated aqueous solution of NH4Cl (1 mL), and the resulting mixture was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 mL), dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: hexanes/ethyl acetate 1:2) to afford compound 37a (7 mg, yield: 34%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D –21.0 (c 1.1, CHCl₃); IR (film) 2918, 2849, 1744, 1690, 1330, 1166, 702 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.21 (m, 1H), 1.47 (m, 2H), 1.61 (m, 1H), 2.47 (dd, J = 2.4, 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (dd, J = 6.6, 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.83 (dd, J = 6.0, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.91 (dd, J $= 7.8, 14.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H$), 3.33 (dt, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 3.66 (dt, J $= 6.6, 2.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.75 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 4.31 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 5.24 $(m, 1H)$, 6.82 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.19–7.41 (m, 11H), 7.52 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H); MS (ESI, $m/$ z) 744 (M + H⁺); HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{40}H_{39}F_6NO_6Na]^+ (M + Na^+)$ 766.2574, found 766.2577.

Following the same procedure, the esterification of (8S)-25b with (R)-(+)-MTPA afforded 37b in 20% yield as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D -15.6 (c 0.4, CHCl₃); IR (film) 2918, 2849, 1744, 1690, 1330, 1166, 702 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3) δ 1.33 (m, 1H), 1.50 (m, 2H), 1.70 $(m, 1H)$, 2.50 (dd, J = 2.4, 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (dd, J = 6.6, 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (dd, J = 5.4, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (dd, J = 7.8, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (s, $3H$), 3.40 (dt, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (dt, J = 6.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.85 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (d, $J = 15.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.20 (m, 1H), 6.82 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.19−7.38 (m, 13H), 7.47 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H); MS (ESI, m/z) 744 (M + H⁺); HRESIMS calcd for $[C_{40}H_{39}F_6NO_6Na]^+ (M + Na^+)$ 766.2574, found 766.2577.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

 H and H ³C NMR spectra of all new compounds and crystal data (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHOR INF](http://pubs.acs.org)ORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Fax: 86-592-2186400. E-mail: pqhuang@xmu.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing fi[nancial interest.](mailto:pqhuang@xmu.edu.cn)

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to National Basic Research Program (973 Program) of China (Grant No. 2010CB833200) and the NSF of China (21072160; 20832005) for financial support.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Collins, P. W.; Djuric, S. W. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 1533−1564.

(2) Das, S.; Chandrasekhar, S.; Yadav, J. S.; Grée, R. *Chem. Rev.* 2007, 107, 3286−3337.

(3) Smith, R. L.; Lee, T.-J.; Gould, N. P.; Cragoe, E. J., Jr.; Oien, H. G.; Kuehl, F. A., Jr. J. Med. Chem. 1977, 20, 1292−1299.

(4) (a) Billot, X.; Chateauneuf, A.; Chauret, N.; Denis, D.; Greig, G.; Mathieu, M.-C.; Metters, K. M.; Slipetz, D. M.; Young, R. N. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2003, 13, 1129−1132. (b) Young, R. N.; Billot, X.; Han, Y.; Slipetz, D. A.; Chauret, N.; Belley, M.; Metters, K.; Mathieu, M.-C.; Greig, G. M.; Denis, D.; Girard, M. Heterocycles 2004, 64, 437−446.

(5) Cameron, K. O.; Lefker, B. A.; Chu-Moyer, M. Y.; Crawford, D. T.; Jardine, P. D.; DeNinno, S. L.; Gilbert, S.; Grasser, W. A.; Ke, H.; Lu, B.; Owen, T. A.; Paralkar, V. M.; Qi, H.; Scott, D. O.; Thompson, D. D.; Tjoa, C. M.; Zawistoski, M. P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 1799− 1802.

(6) Bates, R. W.; Sridhar, S. Synlett 2009, 1979−1981.

(7) (a) Elworthy, T. R.; Kertesz, D. J.; Kim, W.; Roepel, M. G.; Quattrocchio-Setti, L.; Smith, D. B.; Tracy, J. L.; Chow, A.; Li, F.; Brill, E. R.; Lach, L. K.; Mcgee, D.; Yang, D. S.; Chiou, S.-S. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2004, 14, 1655−1659. (b) Elworthy, T. R.; Brill, E. R.; Chiou, S.-S.; Chu, F.; Harris, J. R.; Hendricks, R. T.; Huang, J.; Kim, W.; Lach, L. K.; Mirzadegan, T.; Yee, C.; Walker, K. A. M. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 6124− 6127. (c) Elworthy, T. R.; Brill, E. R.; Caires, C. C.; Kim, W.; Lach, L. K.; Tracy, J. L.; Chiou, S.-S. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2005, 15, 2523−2526. (d) Xiao, Y.; Araldi, G.; Zhao, Z.; Brugger, N.; Karra, S.; Fischer, D.; Palmer, E. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007, 17, 4323–4327. (e) Nair, S. K.; Matthews, J. J.; Cripps, S. J.; Ma, C.-R.; Dovalsantos, E. Z.; Grubbs, A. W.; Sach, N. W.; Hoeve, W. T.; Koster, H.; Flahive, E. J.; Tanis, S. P.; Renner, M.; Wiltenburg, J. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 1451−1454.

(8) For a review involving the generation and application of α lithioamines, see: (a) Campos, K. R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1069− 1084. For selected examples, see: (b) Dieter, R. K.; Lu, K. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 847−855. (c) Bilke, J. L.; Moore, S. P.; O'Brien, P.; Gilday, J. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1935−1938.

(9) (a) Zheng, X.; Feng, C.-G.; Ye, J.-L.; Huang, P.-Q. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 553−556. (b) Zheng, X.; Zhu, W.-F.; Huang, P.-Q. Sci. China: Chem. 2010, 53, 1914−1920.

(10) (a) Haeffner, F.; Brandt, P.; Gawley, R. E. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2101−2104. (b) Iula, D. M.; Gawley, R. E. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 6196− 6201.

(11) Huang, P.-Q.; Zheng, X.; Wang, S.-L.; Ye, J.-L.; Jin, L.-R.; Chen, Z. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1999, 10, 3309−3317.

(12) For β -elimination during the 1C-lithiation of sugars, see: (a) Lancelin, J. M.; Luc, M. A.; Sinay, P. Chem. Commun. 1984, 6, 355−356. (b) Schmidt, R. R.; Kast, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4007− 4010. (c) Pedretti, V.; Veyrières, A.; Sinaÿ, P. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 77− 88. (d) Mazéas, D.; Skrydstrup, D. T.; Beau, J. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1995, 34, 909−912.

(13) (a) Hart, D. J.; Tsai, Y. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1430− 1432. (b) Hart, D. J.; Kanai, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1255−1263. (c) Burnett, D. A.; Choi, J. K.; Hart, D. J.; Tsai, Y. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 8201−8209. (d) Hart, D. J.; Tsai., Y. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 8209−8217. (e) Dener, J. M.; Hart, D. J.; Ramesh, S. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 6022−6030. (f) Choi, J. K.; Ha, D. C.; Hart, D. J.; Lee, C. S.; Ramesh, S.; Wu, S. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 279−290. For applications of this methodology, see: (g) Tsai, Y. M.; Nieh, H. C.; Pan, J. S.; Hsiao, D. D. Chem. Commun. 1996, 2469−2470. (h) Clauss, R.; Hunter, R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1997, 71−76. (i) Hunter, R.; Richards, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 3755−3758. (j) Hunter, R.; Richards, P. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 2348−2356. (k) Khim, S. K.; Schultz, A. G. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 7734−7736. (l) Bogliotti, N.; Dalko, P. I.; Cossy, J. Synlett 2005, 349−351.

(14) For related reviews, see: (a) Renaud, P.; Giraud, L. Synthesis 1996, 913–926. (b) Hart, D. J. In Radicals in Organic Synthesis; Renaud, P., Sibi, M. P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2001; Vol. 2, pp 279−302. (c) Aurrecoechea, J. M.; Suero, R. Arkivoc 2004, part (xiv), 10−35 at www.arkat-usa.org.

(15) (a) Chen, M.-J.; Tsai, Y. M. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 1564−1574. (b) Chen, M.-J.; Tsai, Y. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 6271−6274.

(16) For [selected](www.arkat-usa.org) [reviews](www.arkat-usa.org) [on](www.arkat-usa.org) the chemistry of $SmI₂$, see: (a) Procter, D. J.; Flowers, R. A., Skrydstrup, T., Eds.; Organic Synthesis using Samarium Diiodide: A Practical Guide; Royal Society of Chemistry Publishing: London, 2010. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Ellery, S. P.; Chen, J. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7140−7165. (c) Lin, G.-Q.; Xu, M.-H.; Zhong, Y.-W.; Sun, X.-W. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 831−840. (d) Gopalaiah, K.; Kagan, H. B. New J. Chem. 2008, 32, 607−637. (e) Kagan, H. B. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 10351−10372. (f) Edmonds, D. J.; Johnston, D.; Procter, D. J. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 3371−3403. (g) Krief, A.; Laval, A.- M. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 745−777. (h) Molander, G. A.; Harris, C. R. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 307−338.

(17) (a) Liu, X.-K.; Zheng, X.; Ruan, Y.-P.; Ma, J.; Huang, P.-Q. Org. Biom. Chem. 2012, 10, 1275−1284. (b) Liu, X.-K.; Qiu, S.; Xiang, Y.-G.; Ruan, Y.-P.; Zheng, X.; Huang, P.-Q. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 4952− 4963. (c) Lin, G.-J.; Zheng, X.; Huang, P.-Q. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47,

The Journal of Organic Chemistry **Article Article Article Article Article Article Article**

1545−1547. (d) Wu, S.-F.; Ruan, Y.-P.; Zheng, X.; Huang, P.-Q. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 1653−1660. (e) Xiang, Y.-G.; Wang, X.-W.; Zheng, X.; Ruan, Y.-P.; Huang, P.-Q. Chem. Commun. 2009, 7045− 7047. (f) Wu, S.-F.; Zheng, X.; Ruan, Y.-P.; Huang, P.-Q. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 2967−2975.

(18) Chai, Y.-F.; Guo, C.; Jiang, K.-Z.; Pan, Y.-J.; Sun, C. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 791−797.

(19) (a) Mazéas, D.; Skrydstrup, T.; Beau, J.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1995, 34, 909−912. (b) Skrydstrup, T.; Jarreton, O.; Mazeas, D.; Urban, D.; Beau, J.-M. Chem.-Eur. J. 1998, 4, 655-671. (c) Urban, D.; Skrydstrup, T.; Beau, J.-M. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 2507−2516. (d) Doisneau, G.; Beau, J.-M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 3477−3480. (e) Miquel, N.; Doisneau, G.; Beau, J.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4111−4114.

(20) (a) Huang, P.-Q.; Xu, T.; Chen, A.-Q. Synth. Commun. 2000, 30, 2259−2268. (b) Huang, P.-Q.; Fei, X.-S.; Ruan, Y.-P.; Zhuang, A.-C.; Chen, Z.-Y. J. Xiamen Univ., Nat. Sci. 1996, 35, 913−917.

(21) Satsuki, I.; Hiroaki, S.; Takuji, H.; Hiroyuki, N. Enantiomer 1998, 3, 187−189.

(22) (a) Bernardi, A.; Micheli, F.; Potenza, D.; Scolastico, C.; Villa, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 4949−4952. (b) Huang, P.-Q.; Wang, S.-L.; Ye, J.-L.; Ruan, Y.-P.; Huang, Y.-Q.; Zheng, H.; Gao, J.-X. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 12547−12560.

(23) Curren, D. P. B. Stereochemitry of Radical Reactions; VCH Publishers, Inc.: Weinheim, 1996.

(24) Huang, P.-Q.; Chen, Q.-F.; Chen, C.-L.; Zhang, H.-Q. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1999, 10, 3827−3832.

(25) Nahm, S.; Weinreb, S. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3815−3818. (26) Corey, E. J.; Bakshi, R. K.; Shibata, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5551−5553.

(27) Ohtani, I.; Kusumi, T.; Kashman, Y.; Kakisawa, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4092−4096.

(28) Lucet, D.; Heyse, P.; Gissot, A.; Gall, T. L.; Mioskowski, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 3575−3579.

(29) For selected examples on the SmI₂-medaited intermolecular coupling reactions, see: nitrones with activated alkenes: (a) Masson, G.; Cividino, P.; Py, S.; Vallée, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 2265− 2268. (b) Riber, D.; Skrydstrup, T. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 229−231. (c) Masson, G.; Zeghida, W.; Cividino, P.; Py, S.; Vallée, Y. Synlett 2003, 1527−1529. (d) Johannesen, S. A.; Albu, S.; Hazell, R. G.; Skrydstrup, T. Chem. Commun. 2004, 1962−1963. (e) Desvergnes, S.; Py, S.; Vallée, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1459−1462. (f) Cividino, P.; Py, S.; Delair, P.; Greene, A. E. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 485–493. (g) Rehák, J.; Fišera, L.; Podolan, G.; Kožíšek, J.; Perašínová, L. Synlett 2008, 1260-1264. (h) Rehak, J.; Fisera, L.; Kozisek, J.; Bellovicova, L. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 5762−5769. (i) Gilles, P.; Py, S. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1042−1045. Nitrones with aldehydes: (j) Burchak, O. N.; Masson, G.; Py, S. Synlett 2010, 1623–1626. Aldehydes with activated alkenes: (k) Vogel, J. C.; Butler, R.; Procter, D. J. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 11876−11883. (l) Xu, M.-H.; Wang, W.; Lin, G.-Q. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2229−2232. Nitrones with N-tert-butanesulfinyl imines: (m) Zhong, Y.-W.; Xu, M.-H.; Lin, G.-Q. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3953−3956. N-tert-Butanesulfinyl imines with aldehydes: (n) Zhong, Y.-W.; Dong, Y.-Z.; Fang, K.; Izumi, K.; Xu, M.- H.; Lin, G.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11956−11957.

(30) (a) Song, X.-N.; Yao, Z. J. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 2589−2593. (b) Jin, S.; Li, C.; Ma, Y.; Kan, Y.; Zhang, Y.-J.; Zhang, W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 4011−4015. (c) Ma, Y.; Jin, S.; Kan, Y.; Zhang, Y.-J.; Zhang, W. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 3849−3854. (d) Ma, Y.; Zhang, Y.-J.; Jin, S.; Li, Q.; Li, C.; Lee, J.; Zhang, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 7388−7391. (e) Ekaterina, S.; Jacob, K.; Israel, G.; M, K. Chem. Commun. 2009, 3053−3055. (f) Sulzer, M. S.; Alexakis, A.; Mareda, J.; Bollot, G.; Bernardinelli, G.; Filinchuk, Y. Chem.-Eur. J. 2009, 15, 3204−3220. (g) Suzuki, K.; Oldenburg, P. D.; Que, L., Jr. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1887−1889. (h) Chen, M. S.; White, M. C. Science 2007, 318, 783−787. (i) Sarah, S. M.; Alexandre, A. Chem. Commun. 2007, 3123−3135. (j) Denmark, S. E.; Fu, J.; Lawler, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 1523−1536. (k) Alexakis, A; Andrey, O. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3611−3614. (l) Denmark, S. E.; Fu, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123,

9488−9489. (m) Tohru, O.; Masahiro, H. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5834− 5835.